Attorney Docket: 03-0928/2935P Page 2 of 10

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior version, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

- 1 (Currently Amended) A method for obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for <u>a complex</u> multilayer stacks implemented as a lithography simulation program executed on a <u>computer</u>, comprising:
 - (a) generating a model of a simulated multilayer stack prior to production of the multilayer stack and parameterizing each layer by <u>providing parameters</u>, the <u>parameters including</u> a thickness and an index of refraction;
 - (b) <u>allowing receiving from a user to-input values for the parameters, including</u> and to designate a designation of an unrestricted number of the parameters as independent variables;
 - (c) calculating an extrema for a cost function of reflectivity *R* using the input parameter values;
 - (d) calculating sensitivity values S for the extrema; and
 - (e) obtaining the optimal reflectivity value for the simulated multilayer stack by calculating a cost function $R + \alpha \cdot S$ using the plurality of independent variables at once, wherein α is a weighted parameter for the sensitivity values in the cost function; and
 - (e)(f) using the optimal reflectivity value to one of minimize and maximize reflectivity of at least one layer boundary in the multilayer stack to reduce resist critical dimension (CD) variation and improve accuracy of a lithography process.

Attorney Docket: 03-0928/2935P Page 3 of 10

2 (Canceled)

3 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1 wherein the generating (a) further comprises: providing the model of the simulated multilayer stack with N layers, where a top layer comprises a top ambient resist layer followed by one or more layers of materials that

are patterned over a substrate layer.

4 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 23 wherein the generating (a) further

comprises: providing the index of refraction to include a real and an imaginary number.

5 (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4 wherein the generating (a) further

comprises: providing a j^{th} layer with thickness d_i , and a complex index of refraction $\mathbf{n}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_i$

i k_j.

6 (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5 wherein the generating (a) further

comprises: providing the ambient and substrate with complex indexes of refraction: $\mathbf{n}_0 = \mathbf{n}_0$

 $-i k_0$ and $\mathbf{n}_{N+1} = n_{N+1} - i k_{N+1}$, respectively.

7 (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6 wherein the generating (a) further

comprises: defining reflectivity at an interface between two layers as a cost function,

wherein the reflectivity R_i at a j^{th} interface (between the $(j-1)^{th}$ and j^{th} layers) is a function

of 3(N-j+1)+4 parameters, which are ; $n_{j-1}, n_j \dots n_N, n_{N+1}; k_{j-1}, k_j \dots k_N, k_{N+1}; d_j, d_{j+1} \dots d_N$.

8 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1 wherein the allowing (b) further comprises:

allowing receiving from the user to enter values for the thickness and the complex indexes

of refraction (n and k) for each layer, including a current starting point, a minimum value,

Attorney Docket: 03-0928/2935P

Page 4 of 10

and a maximum value for the thickness and the complex indexes of refraction for each

layer.

9 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8 wherein the allowing (b) further comprises:

allowing receiving from the user to enter step values for the parameters designated as

independent variables, wherein those parameters that are not designated as independent

variables are fixed.

10 (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the obtaining (e) further

comprises: defining the sensitivity as S = (Max R - Min R) for all varied parameters.

11 (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium containing program instructions for

obtaining an optimal reflectivity value for a complex multilayer stacks implemented as a

lithography simulation program for execution on a computer, the instructions for:

(a) generating a model of a simulated multilayer stack prior to production of the

multilayer stack and parameterizing each layer by providing parameters, the

<u>parameters including</u> a thickness and an index of refraction;

(b) allowing receiving from a user to input values for the parameters, including a

designation of and to designate an unrestricted number of parameters as

independent variables;

(c) calculating an extrema for a cost function of reflectivity R using the input

parameter values;

(d) calculating sensitivity values S for the extrema-; and

(e) obtaining the optimal reflectivity value for the simulated multilayer stack by

calculating a cost function $R + \alpha \cdot S$ using the plurality of independent variables at

Attorney Docket: 03-0928/2935P

Page 5 of 10

once, wherein $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a weighted parameter for the sensitivity values in the cost

function; and

(e)(f) using the optimal reflectivity value to one of minimize and maximize

reflectivity of at least one layer boundary in the multilayer stack to reduce resist

critical dimension (CD) variation and improve accuracy of a lithography process.

12 (Canceled)

13 (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 11 wherein instruction

(a) further comprises: providing the model of the multilayer stack with N layers, where a top

layer comprises a top ambient resist layer followed by one or more layers of materials that

are patterned over a substrate layer.

14 (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 13 wherein instruction

(a) further comprises: providing the index of refraction to include a real and an imaginary

number.

15 (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 14 wherein instruction

(a) further comprises: providing a i^{th} layer with thickness d_i , and a complex index of

refraction $\mathbf{n}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}_i$.

16 (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 15 wherein

instruction (a) further comprises: providing the ambient and substrate with complex indexes

of refraction: $\mathbf{n}_0 = \mathbf{n}_0 - i \mathbf{k}_0$ and $\mathbf{n}_{N+1} = \mathbf{n}_{N+1} - i \mathbf{k}_{N+1}$, respectively.

17 (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 16 wherein instruction

(a) further comprises: defining reflectivity at an interface between two layers as a cost

function, wherein the reflectivity R_i at a j^{th} interface (between the $(j-1)^{th}$ and j^{th} layers) is a

Attorney Docket: 03-0928/2935P Page 6 of 10

function of 3(N-j+1)+4 parameters, which are ; $n_{j-1},\ n_j\ ...\ n_N,\ n_{N+1};\ k_{j-1},\ k_j\ ...\ k_N,\ k_{N+1};\ d_j,$

 $d_{i+1} \dots d_N$.

18 (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 11 wherein instruction

(b) further comprises: allowing receiving from the user to enter values for the thickness and

the complex indexes of refraction (n and k) for each layer, including a current starting point,

a minimum value, and a maximum value for the thickness and the complex indexes of

refraction for each layer.

19 (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 18 wherein instruction

(b) further comprises: allowing receiving from the user to enter step values for the

parameters designated as independent variables, wherein those parameters that are not

designated as independent variables are fixed.

20 (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 11 wherein instruction

(e) further comprises: defining the sensitivity as S = (Max R - Min R) for all varied

parameters.